

Peer Review Process

All manuscripts are reviewed by the Editor-in-Chief or one of the members of the Editorial Board, who may decide to reject the paper or send it for external peer review. Manuscripts accepted for peer review will be blind reviewed by at least two experts in the field. After peer review, the Editor-in-Chief will study the paper together with reviewer comments to make one of the following decisions: accept, accept pending minor revision, accept pending major revision, or reject. Authors will receive comments on the manuscript regardless of the decision. In the event that a manuscript is accepted pending revision, the author will be responsible for completing the revision within 60 days. The review process may last up to 30 days.

Open Access Policy

This journal provides immediate open access to its content on the principle that making research freely available to the public supports a greater global exchange of knowledge.

Ethics

We are confident that unambiguous and consistent guidelines will enhance the quality of published research, and ensure a process is in place to respond to a situation where ethics may have been transgressed.

Ethical guidelines

The Journal of Medical Science applies the ethical principles and procedures recommended by COPE (Committee on Conduct Ethics), contained in the Code of Conduct and Best Practice Guidelines for Journal Editors, Peer Reviewers and Authors available on the COPE website: <https://publicationethics.org/resources/guidelines>

Editors

We ask editors to make every reasonable effort to ensure the following criteria are taken into account for those submitted manuscripts they deem worthy of consideration by peer review.

Unbiased consideration should be given to each manuscript, judging each on its merits without regard to the race, religion, nationality, sex, seniority, or institutional affiliation of the author.

Manuscripts should be dealt with and processed with reasonable speed and efficiency.

Editors have sole responsibility for the acceptance or rejection of a manuscript. While an editor may seek guidance via peer review, she or he may reject a manuscript without review if considered inappropriate for the journal.

The peer-review process must be confidential and rendered anonymous.

Conflicts of interest must be declared.

If an editor receives a challenge to the authenticity of a published article, she or he will consult with Informa, and where appropriate members of the editorial board, in confidence. Where the editor and Informa subsequently secure evidence that authenticity has been compromised, in any of the following ways – the main substance of a published article is erroneous; the article contains material which has not been properly acknowledged or cited; the article's authorship is incorrect or incomplete; or the article contains a libel – the editor and Informa will facilitate publication of an appropriate correction, a Statement of Retraction, or, in extremis, the withdrawal and removal of the article.

Authors

Authors must present an accurate account of the research performed, and offer an objective discussion of its significance.

The article must contain sufficient detail and reference to public sources of information to permit the author's peers to repeat the work.

Authors must cite all relevant references.

Authors must identify any hazards inherent in conducting the research.

Authors must declare conflicts of interest.

Authors must avoid fragmenting research to maximize the number of articles submitted.

Authors must not submit the same or similar articles to any other journal or publication medium.

While an experimental or theoretical study may sometimes justify criticism of the work of another scientist, in no circumstances is personal criticism appropriate.

"Co-authors" are defined as any person who has made a significant scientific contribution to the work reported, and who shares responsibility and accountability for the results.

We require that, prior to publication, authors sign a set of warranties to these effects via a License Form. If appropriate, authors should also ensure that patient consent is sorted and granted.

Referees and Peer Reviewers

We ask referees and peer reviewers to make every reasonable effort to ensure the following criteria are taken into account for those submitted manuscripts they have agreed to peer review:

Unbiased consideration should be given to each manuscript, judging each on its merits without regard to the race, religion, nationality, sex, seniority, or institutional affiliation of the author.

Manuscripts should be dealt with and processed with reasonable speed and efficiency.

The quality of the manuscript, and its experimental and theoretical work, its interpretations, and its exposition, will be judged objectively.

The peer-review process will be kept confidential.

Conflicts of interest must be declared.

Referees' judgments must be explained and supported. Any statement that an observation, derivation, or argument had been previously reported must be accompanied by the relevant citation, and unsupported assertions must be avoided.

While the review of a manuscript may justify criticism, even severe criticism, under no circumstances is personal or malicious criticism of the author appropriate or acceptable.

International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (ICMJE)

In case of biomedical or medical articles, editors of journal adhere to best practices and ethical standards recommended by International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (ICMJE). All the authors are encouraged to read the recommendations at <http://www.icmje.org>