

SHORT COMMUNICATION

**I CAN HANDLE IRREPARABLE CUFF TEAR WITH REHABILITATION/I AM SURE THAT YOU CAN'T HANDLE ALL WITH REHAB**

**MOGĘ SOBIE PORADZIĆ Z NIEODWRACALNYM USZKODZENIEM STOŻKA ROTATORÓW STOSUJĄC REHABILITACJĘ/JESTEM PEWIEN, ŻE TY NIE PORADZISZ SOBIE ZE WSZYSTKIM STOSUJĄC REHABILITACJĘ**

Wojciech Marek, Robert Pełka

Specjalistyczny Ośrodek Chirurgii Artroskopowej i Ortopedycznej Artroskopowy Ośrodek Referencyjny, Nowa Ortopedia, Poland,

ABSTRACT


Rotator cuff tears (RCT) are one of the most commonly treated orthopaedic pathologies, yet controversy exists regarding the management of these injuries. Irreparable rotator cuff tears are unpredictable with respect to their clinical presentation. The spectrum of pain and functional disability varies widely. Rehabilitation protocol is always the first solution in treating irreparable cuff tears, but non-functional and painful shoulders (e.g., arthrosis) is an indication for surgery. Can we ask ourselves a question: where lies the limit of trying “persistent” surgical repair of massive irreparable RC lesions? There is a lack of high-quality comparative studies to guide treatment recommendations. Physical therapy compared to surgery is associated with a lower improvement in perceived functional outcome and higher clinical failure rate. However, there is insufficient evidence to establish an evidence-based treatment algorithm for MRCTs. Treatment is based on patient factors and associated pathology and includes personal experience and data from case series. It has to be underlined that the winner is always team physiotherapist/surgeon, and their cooperation is crucial at all stages of therapy.

**Keywords:** rotator cuff, irreparable rotator cuff tear, rehabilitation of RC tear, surgical treatment of rotator cuff tear

STRESZCZENIE

Naderwanie stożka rotatorów (RC) jest jedną z najczęściej leczonych patologii ortopedycznych, a mimo to istnieją kontrowersje dotyczące postępowania w przypadku tego uszkodzenia. Nieodwracalne uszkodzenie jest nieprzewidywalne pod względem obrazu klinicznego. Spektrum bólu i niepełnosprawności funkcjonalnej jest bardzo zróżnicowane. Protokół rehabilitacyjny jest zawsze pierwszym rozwiązaniem w leczeniu nieodwracalnego uszkodzenia stożka rotatorów, ale niefunkcjonalny i bolesny bark (np. artroza) jest wskazaniem do operacji. Możemy zadać sobie pytanie: gdzie leży granica prób „uporczywej” naprawy chirurgicznej masywnych nieodwracalnych uszkodzeń RC? Brakuje wysokiej jakości badań porównawczych, które mogłyby ukierunkować zalecenia dotyczące leczenia. Fizjoterapia w porównaniu z operacją wiąże się z mniejszą poprawą postrzeganego wyniku funkcjonalnego i większym odsetkiem niepowodzeń klinicznych. Nie ma jednak wystarczających dowodów, aby ustalić ujednoczony algorytm leczenia uszkodzenia RC. Leczenie opiera się na czynnikach pacjenta i związanej z nim patologii oraz obejmuje osobiste doświadczenia i dane z serii przypadków.

**Author responsible for correspondence:**

Robert Pełka  
Postal address: ul. Oleska 97, Opole, Poland  
Email: rppelka@gmail.com  
 <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0468-3684>

Authors reported no source of funding  
Authors declared no conflict of interest

Date received: 30th January 2023  
Date accepted: 19th February 2023

Należy podkreślić, że zwycięzcą jest zawsze zespół fizjoterapeuta/chirurga, a ich współpraca jest kluczowa na wszystkich etapach terapii.

**Słowa kluczowe:** mankiet rotatorów, nieodwracalne rozerwanie mankietu rotatorów, rehabilitacja rozerwania RC, leczenie operacyjne rozerwania mankietu rotatorów

Rotator cuff tears (RCT) are one of the most commonly treated orthopaedic pathologies, yet controversy exists regarding the management of these injuries. Irreparable rotator cuff tears are unpredictable with respect to their clinical presentation. The spectrum of pain and functional disability varies widely. Rehabilitation protocol is always the first solution in treating irreparable cuff tears, but non-functional and painful shoulders (e.g. arthrosis) is an indication for surgery. Thus, can we ask ourselves a question: where lies the limit of trying “persistent” surgical repair of massive irreparable RC lesions?

Talking about lesions etiology we can distinguish: extrinsic anatomical causes (type of acromion, os acromiale, acromion spur), extrinsic environmental causes (age and ageing, overload, nicotine addiction, auto-immunologic diseases) and intrinsic causes (degenerative changes: collagen fibres disorders, mucoid degeneration, vessels proliferation, fatty degeneration, chondrometaplasia and calcifications, repetitive microtrauma, chronic inflammation, oxidative stress, tenocyte apoptosis, muscular balance disorders, genetic conditions) (Tashijan, 2012).

“Natural” history of RC lesions was established by Tempelhof in 1998. He discovered that an astonishingly high rate of rotator cuff tears in patients with asymptomatic shoulders was thus demonstrated with increasing patient age (Tempelhof *et al.*, 1999).

RC functions are widely known. Proper joint movement and stability depends on it. Supraspinatus initiates abduction, Infraspinatus and Teres Minor are responsible for external rotation, Subscapularis is mainly responsible for internal rotation. They work together with deltoid muscle stabilizing unstable by nature glenohumeral joint. Deltoid

with supraspinatus lead humeral head to compression in abduction, but Subscapularis with infraspinatus gives humeral head stabilization in sagittal plane (Collin *et al.*, 2014; Bedi *et al.*, 2010).

Analysing patomechanics we can firmly admit that massive tears destabilize biomechanics, especially in following directions: internal rotation predominance – postero-superior RC lesion, and external rotation predominance – antero-superior RC lesion. Linking lesions cause loss of ROM and increasing RC destruction leads to superior migration of the humeral head, superior subluxation and dysfunction, finally pseudoparalysis.

Pseudoparalysis is characterised by loss of active flexion of the arm > 90 degrees, full passive motion and lack of neurologic deficiency. Reason of that pathology is described as the entire subscapularis and supraspinatus tendon tear or three RC tendons tear (Kim *et al.*, 2012).

Massive RC tears have very important clinical implications for an evaluation of the surgical repair treatment. They are a big challenge for orthopedic surgeons and have a lot of therapeutic options. Massive RC tears create – ca. 40% of RC lesions. Statistically a chance of a retear after a massive RC lesion surgery is higher than after a small RC lesion repair (Bedi *et al.* 2010). Irreparable RC lesions can be described by following pathologies: infraspinatus muscle atrophy with loss of external rotation, antero-superior subluxation of the humeral head, pseudoparalysis of the shoulder – suggests an irreversible RC lesion, AHI < 7 mm, and fatty muscle degeneration = > 3st (Goutallier *et al.*, 1994). We have to claim that there is no clear definition of Irreparable RC lesions (Collin *et al.*, 2014, Goutallier *et al.*, 1994, Hamada *et al.*, 1990). Irreparable rotator

cuff tears can be a challenging treatment for the orthopedic surgeon and physiotherapists. While no singular treatment exists as the gold standard, many options exist. Treatment depends on a patient's functional status as well as on the skills and procedural familiarity of the surgeon and therapist (Juhan *et al.*, 2019). Several criteria of surgical planning should be taken into consideration in the treatment strategy, such as: a fatty degeneration and a muscle atrophy level (Goutallier *et al.*, 1994), cuff arthropathy level (Hamada *et al.*, 1990), *subscapularis* role, and role of the long head of the biceps tendon.

RC cable-crescent complex – suspension bridge theory is the initial point of the problem (Burkhart *et al.*, 1993). In addition, the basic problems in irreversible cuff tears can be functional disabilities such as: ILEA (Isolated Loss of Active Elevation) – “pseudoparalysis”, ILER (Isolated Loss of External Rotation) – lag sign/Hornblower sign, and CLEER (Combined Loss of Elevation & External Rotation). Treatment algorithm described by Tashjian can be considered as a choice of therapy, with classification to three main groups: group 1 – where all chronic full-thickness tears in older age group, and irreparable tears are included; group 2 – where all acute tears greater than 1 cm, and all chronic full-thickness tears in population aged lower than 60 years old are included, and group 3 – where all tendinopathy, partial-thickness tears, and full-thickness lower than 1 cm are included. Algorithm is designed to provide the most effective care possible while minimizing the risk of complication or adverse events. The algorithm includes a step-by-step approach to diagnosis and treatment, including diagnostic testing, non-operative treatment options, and surgical procedures if necessary (Tashjian *et al.*, 2012). Feedback from physiotherapists in group I informs about 73–80% good response! Rest of cases presents poor range of motion (ROM), arthrosis, pain, dysfunction (Ryösä, *et al.*, 2017).

Nowadays we have some possibilities of surgical therapy, including arthroscopy

debridement, and LHBT tenotomy/tenodesis, a partial reconstruction, patch/scaffold augmentation, a superior capsular reconstruction – SCR + LHBT reconstruction, a subacromial balloon spacer, tendons and muscles transfer or reverse shoulder arthroplasty (RSA) (Boileau *et al.*, 2007; Mihata, 2018; Mihata *et al.*, 2016; Mihata *et al.*, 2020; Hermanowicz *et al.*, 2018; Li *et al.*, 2022; Juhan *et al.*, 2019; Ryösä, *et al.*, 2017; Shepet *et al.*, 2021; Kovacevic *et al.*, 2020; Valenti, 2018; Cvetanovich *et al.*, 2019; Sevivas, *et al.*, 2017; Lädemann *et al.*, 2015; Davies *et al.*, 2015). Part of them is called rescue procedures. Additionally, some comments for following surgical procedures have been published (Zingg *et al.*, 2007; Boileau *et al.*, 2007):

- Debridement with tenodesis/tenotomy of LHBT is dedicated for elderly patients with low expectations (function): both procedures have similar results, but there is minor atrophy or lesion significantly decreases the final result; contraindication is pseudoparalysis and severe RC arthropathy.
- A partial repair can give good results on conditions that patient has good tissue quality and low expectations (physical work).
- Patch/Scaffold augmentation is a solution for cases with good tissue quality and for younger patients with higher functional demands.
- SCR – technically difficult technique, can expect improvement of joint kinematics, promising early observations.
- Subacromial balloon spacer causes humeral head recalibration procedure.
- Muscle transfer is the option for patients < 60 y.o. with no pseudoparalysis.
- RSA is the option for elderly painful patients and with pseudoparalysis (not improve ER).

Large initial improvements in shoulder scores were demonstrated for all techniques despite high retear rates for reconstructive procedures. Shoulder scores may decline at mid- to long-term follow-up (Davies *et al.*, 2022).

Physical therapy was associated with a 30% failure rate and another 30% went on to

have surgery. Partial repair was associated with a 45% re-tear rate and 10% reoperation rate. Latissimus tendon transfer techniques utilizing humeral bone tunnel fixation were associated with a 77% failure rate. Superior capsular reconstruction with fascia lata autograft was associated with a weighted average change that exceeded the MCID (minimum clinically important difference) for ASES score. Reverse arthroplasty was associated with a 10% prosthesis failure rate and 8% revision rate (Kovacevic *et al.*, 2020).

There is a lack of high-quality comparative studies to guide treatment recommendations. Physical therapy compared to surgery is associated with a lower improvement in perceived functional outcome and higher clinical failure rate rate (Kovacevic *et al.*, 2020).

There is insufficient evidence to establish an evidence-based treatment algorithm for MRCTs. Treatment is based on patient factors and associated pathology and includes personal experience and data from case series (Lädermann *et al.*, 2015). The winner is always team physiotherapist/surgeon, and their cooperation is crucial at all stages of therapy.

#### REFERENCES

- Bedi, A., Dines, J., Warren, R. F., & Dines, D. M.** (2010) 'Massive tears of the rotator cuff.' *The Journal of bone and joint surgery.* American volume, 92(9), 1894–1908.
- Boileau, P., Baqué, F., Valerio, L., Ahrens, P., Chuinard, C., & Trojani, C.** (2007) 'Isolated arthroscopic biceps tenotomy or tenodesis improves symptoms in patients with massive irreparable rotator cuff tears.' *The Journal of bone and joint surgery.* American volume, 89(4), 747–757.
- Burkhart, S. S., Esch, J. C., & Jolson, R. S.** (1993) 'The rotator crescent and rotator cable: an anatomic description of the shoulder's "suspension bridge".' *Arthroscopy: the journal of arthroscopic & related surgery: official publication of the Arthroscopy Association of North America and the International Arthroscopy Association*, 9(6), 611–616.
- Collin, P., Matsumura, N., Lädermann, A., Denard, P. J., & Walch, G.** (2014) 'Relationship between massive chronic rotator cuff tear pattern and loss of active shoulder range of motion.' *Journal of shoulder and elbow surgery*, 23(8), 1195–1202.
- Cvetanovich, G. L., Waterman, B. R., Verma, N. N., & Romeo, A. A.** (2019) 'Management of the Irreparable Rotator Cuff Tear.' *The Journal of the American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons*, 27(24), 909–917.
- Davies, A., Singh, P., Reilly, P., Sabharwal, S., & Malhas, A.** (2022) 'Superior capsule reconstruction, partial cuff repair, graft interposition, arthroscopic debridement or balloon spacers for large and massive irreparable rotator cuff tears: a systematic review and meta-analysis.' *Journal of orthopaedic surgery and research*, 17(1), 552.
- Davies, A., Singh, P., Reilly, P., Sabharwal, S., & Malhas, A.** (2022) 'Superior capsule reconstruction, partial cuff repair, graft interposition, arthroscopic debridement or balloon spacers for large and massive irreparable rotator cuff tears: a systematic review and meta-analysis.' *Journal of orthopaedic surgery and research*, 17(1), 552.
- Goutallier, D., Postel, J. M., Bernageau, J., Lavau, L., & Voisin, M. C.** (1994) 'Fatty muscle degeneration in cuff ruptures. Pre- and postoperative evaluation by CT scan.' *Clinical orthopaedics and related research*, (304), 78–83.
- Hamada, K., Fukuda, H., Mikasa, M., & Kobayashi, Y.** (1990) 'Roentgenographic findings in massive rotator cuff tears. A long-term observation.' *Clinical orthopaedics and related research*, (254), 92–96.
- Hermanowicz, K., Góralczyk, A., Malinowski, K., Jancewicz, P., & Domżański, M. E.** (2018) 'Long Head Biceps Tendon-Natural Patch for Massive Irreparable Rotator Cuff Tears.' *Arthroscopy techniques*, 7(5), e473–e478.
- Juhan, T., Stone, M., Jalali, O., Curtis, W., Prodromo, J., Weber, A. E., Hatch, G. F., III, & Omid, R.** (2019) 'Irreparable rotator cuff tears: Current treatment options.' *Orthopedic reviews*, 11(3), 8146.

- Kim, S. J., Lee, I. S., Kim, S. H., Lee, W. Y., & Chun, Y. M.** (2012) *Arthroscopic partial repair of irreparable large to massive rotator cuff tears.* *Arthroscopy: the journal of arthroscopic & related surgery: official publication of the Arthroscopy Association of North America and the International Arthroscopy Association*, 28(6), 761–768.
- Kovacevic, D., Suriani, R. J., Jr, Grawe, B. M., Yian, E. H., Gilotra, M. N., Hasan, S. A., Sriksmaran, U., Hasan, S. S., Cuomo, F., Burks, R. T., Green, A. G., Nottage, W. M., Theja, S., Kassam, H. F., Saad, M. A., Ramirez, M. A., Stanley, R. J., Williams, M. D., Nadarajah, V., Konja, A. C., American Shoulder and Elbow Surgeons Massive Cuff Evaluation and Research Initiative (ASES MERIT) Investigators** (2020) *Management of irreparable massive rotator cuff tears: a systematic review and meta-analysis of patient-reported outcomes, reoperation rates, and treatment response.* *Journal of shoulder and elbow surgery*, 29(12), 2459–2475.
- Lädermann, A., Denard, P. J., & Collin, P.** (2015) *Massive rotator cuff tears: definition and treatment.* *International orthopaedics*, 39(12), 2403–2414.
- Li, X., Galvin, J. W., Zalneraitis, B. H., Gasbarro, G., Parada, S. A., Eichinger, J. K., Boileau, P., Warner, J. J. P., & Elhassan, B. T.** (2022) *Muscle Tendon Transfers Around the Shoulder: Diagnosis, Treatment, Surgical Techniques, and Outcomes.* *The Journal of bone and joint surgery. American volume*, 104(9), 833–850.
- Mihata T.** (2018) *Editorial Commentary: Superior Capsule Reconstruction: Graft Healing for Success.* *Arthroscopy: the journal of arthroscopic & related surgery: official publication of the Arthroscopy Association of North America and the International Arthroscopy Association*, 34(1), 100–101.
- Mihata, T., Lee, T. Q., Hasegawa, A., Fukunishi, K., Kawakami, T., Fujisawa, Y., Ohue, M., Doi, M., & Neo, M.** (2020) *Arthroscopic Superior Capsule Reconstruction for Irreparable Rotator Cuff Tears: Comparison of Clinical Outcomes With and Without Subscapularis Tear.* *The American journal of sports medicine*, 48(14), 3429–3438.
- Mihata, T., McGarry, M. H., Kahn, T., Goldberg, I., Neo, M., & Lee, T. Q.** (2016) *Biomechanical Effect of Thickness and Tension of Fascia Lata Graft on Glenohumeral Stability for Superior Capsule Reconstruction in Irreparable Supraspinatus Tears.* *Arthroscopy: the journal of arthroscopic & related surgery: official publication of the Arthroscopy Association of North America and the International Arthroscopy Association*, 32(3), 418–426.
- Ryösä, A., Laimi, K., Äärimaa, V., Lehtimäki, K., Kukkonen, J., & Saltychev, M.** (2017) *Surgery or conservative treatment for rotator cuff tear: a meta-analysis.* *Disability and rehabilitation*, 39(14), 1357–1363.
- Sevivas, N., Ferreira, N., Andrade, R., Moreira, P., Portugal, R., Alves, D., Vieira da Silva, M., Sousa, N., Salgado, A. J., & Espregueira-Mendes, J.** (2017) *Reverse shoulder arthroplasty for irreparable massive rotator cuff tears: a systematic review with meta-analysis and meta-regression.* *Journal of shoulder and elbow surgery*, 26(9), e265–e277.
- Shepet, K. H., Liechti, D. J., & Kuhn, J. E.** (2021) *Nonoperative treatment of chronic, massive irreparable rotator cuff tears: a systematic review with synthesis of a standardized rehabilitation protocol.* *Journal of shoulder and elbow surgery*, 30(6), 1431–1444.
- Tashjian R. Z.** (2012) *Epidemiology, natural history, and indications for treatment of rotator cuff tears.* *Clinics in sports medicine*, 31(4), 589–604.
- Tempelhof, S., Rupp, S., & Seil, R.** (1999) *Age-related prevalence of rotator cuff tears in asymptomatic shoulders.* *Journal of shoulder and elbow surgery*, 8(4), 296–299.
- Valenti P.** (2018) *Joint-preserving treatment options for irreparable rotator cuff tears. Gelenkerhaltende Behandlungsoptionen bei irreparablen Rotatorenmanschettenrupturen.* *Der Orthopäde*, 47(2), 103–112.
- Zingg, P. O., Jost, B., Sukthankar, A., Buhler, M., Pfirrmann, C. W., & Gerber, C.** (2007) *Clinical and structural outcomes of nonoperative management of massive rotator cuff tears.* *The Journal of bone and joint surgery. American volume*, 89(9), 1928–1934.